

Dr Jeroen van der Heijden
 RegNet: School of Regulation and Global Governance
 Australian National University
 +61 48 790 3835
 j.j.vanderheijden@anu.edu.au

Curriculum Vitae

Dr Jeroen van der Heijden – Associate Professor

Experience keywords: urban climate governance, policy and administration, socio-legal scholarship, regulation and governance, comparative policy analysis, qualitative comparative analysis (QCA)

Qualifications

- | | |
|------|---|
| 2009 | <i>PhD in Public Policy (highest honours, top 5%), Delft University of Technology, Netherlands</i> |
| 2002 | <i>MSc in Architecture (high distinction equivalent, 8/10), Delft University of Technology, Netherlands</i> |

Academic positions

- | | |
|-------------|---|
| Since 2016 | <i>Associate Professor, RegNet, Australian National University, Australia</i> |
| 2015 - 2016 | <i>Associate Professor, Amsterdam Law School, University of Amsterdam, Netherlands</i> |
| 2014 - 2015 | <i>Senior Research Fellow, RegNet, Australian National University, Australia</i> |
| 2012 - 2014 | <i>Assistant Professor, Amsterdam Law School, University of Amsterdam, Netherlands</i> |
| 2011 - 2014 | <i>Research Fellow, RegNet, Australian National University, Australia</i> |
| 2009 - 2012 | <i>Assistant Professor, Faculty of Technology, Policy and Management, Delft University of Technology, Netherlands</i> |

Other positions

- | | |
|-------------|--|
| 2016 | <i>Visiting Researcher, Ritsumeikan University, Japan (host: Professor Katsuki Takao)</i> |
| 2016 | <i>Visiting Fellow, Korean Legalisation Research Institute, Korea (host: Dr. Ki Lee)</i> |
| 2014 | <i>Visiting Associate, LSE Cities, LSE, United Kingdom (host: Professor Ricky Burdett)</i> |
| 2014 | <i>Visiting Fellow, Tyndall Centre for Climate Change Research, University of East Anglia, United Kingdom (host: Professor Andrew Jordan)</i> |
| 2013 | <i>Visiting Fellow, Centre for Southeast Asian & Pacific Studies, Sri Venkateswara University, India (host: Dr. Gundre Jayachandra Reddy)</i> |
| 2011 | <i>Visiting Fellow, Department of Political Science, University of Washington, USA (host: Professor Peter May).</i> |
| 2010 - 2011 | <i>Visiting Research Fellow, Department of Politics and International Relations, University of Oxford, United Kingdom (host: Professor Christopher Hood)</i> |
| 2005 - 2009 | <i>Research Associate, OTB Research Institute for the Built Environment, Delft University of Technology, Netherlands</i> |
| 2002 - 2005 | <i>Project Manager, Oculus Project Management, Netherlands</i> |

Teaching and supervision

Undergraduate

- Regulation-governance interaction
- Policy-law interaction
- Special topics in environmental policy

Graduate

- Regulation and governance
- Policy and law
- Process management
- Special topics in environmental policy
- Qualitative comparative analysis

Current graduate students

Yunmei Wu (University of Amsterdam, PhD student); Allinettes Adigue (Australian National University, PhD student); Adriana Sanchez Gomez (University of New South Wales, PhD student), Kuntal Goswami (Charles Darwin University/Australian National University, PhD student); Ryan Wong (Australian National University, PhD student); Gary Lea (Australian National University, PhD student); Chacko Thomas (Australian National University, PhD student); Judy Zi Xao (Australian National University, MA student).

Completed graduate students

Li Na (University of Amsterdam, PhD 2016); Seung Hun Hong (Australian National University, PhD 2016); Huiqi Yan (University of Amsterdam, PhD 2014); Felipe Castro Andreas (Delft University of Technology; MSc 2011); Muluemebet Getachew (Delft University of Technology; MSc 2011); Sushma Mohan (Delft University of Technology; MSc 2011); Sybren Hoekstra (Delft University of Technology; MSc 2010); Francien Bouwmeester (Delft University of Technology; MSc 2010)

Recognition of teaching quality

Fellow of the Higher Education Academy (since 2014)

Grants and contracts

| | |
|-------------|---|
| 2017 - 2022 | Joined-up governance for low-carbon cities (PI), Netherlands Organisation for Scientific Research. €800,000 (VIDI research grant) |
| 2016 | Joined-up urban governance in Japan (PI), Ritsumeikan-ANU Visiting Researchers Scheme. AUD\$15,000 (travel grant) |
| 2016 | Joined-up urban governance in Korea (PI), Korean Legal Research Institute Visiting Fellowship. KRW7,000,000 (travel grant) |
| 2015 - 2018 | Collaborative governance for urban sustainability and resilience in the Asia-Pacific (PI), Australian Research Council. AUD\$360,000 (DECRA research grant) |
| 2015 - 2016 | Contemporary urban governance for mitigation and adaptation: Mapping, exploring and interrogating, INOGO. €15,000 (workshop grant) |
| 2012 - 2015 | Revising theories on voluntary environmental governance (PI), Netherlands Organisation for Scientific Research. €250,000 (VENI research grant) |
| 2010 - 2011 | The implementation of the EU Water Framework Directive (Co-PI; Ernst ten Heuvelhof), Dutch Ministry of Water Management. €120,000 (research contract) |
| 2010 - 2011 | European Lead Market Initiatives in Sustainable Construction (Co-PI; Ellen van Bueren), Commission of the European Communities. €250,000 (research contract) |
| 2009 - 2010 | Applied story telling/Capturing tacit knowledge (Co-PI; Hans de Bruijn), Royal Dutch Shell. €110,000 (research contract) |
| 2009 - 2011 | Alternative approaches to Dutch building control, various projects (lead-PI), Dutch Ministry of Housing, the Environmental Agency Brabant, and the Cities of Amsterdam and Eindhoven. €143,000 total (research contracts) |

Professional affiliations and activities*Membership in professional societies*

- Earth Systems Governance (ESG)
- Law and Society Association (LSA)
- European Consortium for Political Research (ECPR)
- Het Groene Brein ('Green Brain', multidisciplinary Dutch network of prominent academics in various areas of environmental studies)

Offices in professional societies

- Co-lead author of *Earth Systems Governance Research Plan 2018-2023* (2016-2018)

Grant referee

Australian Research Council (ARC); Dutch Organisation of Scientific Research (NWO); National Research Council of Canada (NRC); Research Foundation Flanders (FWO)

Manuscript referee

Articles: Australian Journal of Political Science; Building Research and Information; Environment & Planning C; Environmental Politics; Environmental Policy & Governance; Global Environmental Change; Health & Place; International Political Science Review; and Public Health; International Journal of Environmental Research; International Journal of Law & the Built Environment; International Journal of Public Administration; Journal of Civil Engineering & Management; Journal of Contemporary European Research; Journal of Engineering, Design & Technology; Journal of Environmental Planning & Management; Journal of Environmental Policy & Planning; J. of Housing & the Built Environment; Law & Policy; Political Studies; Public Administration; Public Policy and Administration; Regulation & Governance; Smart & Sustainable Built Environment; UNSW Law Journal; Urban Studies; Water Policy

Books: Edward Elgar; Springer; Routledge

Conference referee

Urban Transitions Global Summit (2016); Sustainable Built Environment Conference (2016); Earth System Governance (2015, 2014); United Nations Urban Future Conference (2014); CIB World Building Congress (2013)

Committees and duties

University

- Founder and co-director of the *ANU Urban Network*, Australian National University (since 2016)
- Co-organiser *Untaming the Urban Symposium*, 7-9 December 2016, Australian National University.

College

- *Research School of the Asia Pacific (RSAP) Evaluation Committee*, College of Asia and the Pacific, Australian National University (2015)

School/Department

- Co-director of RegNet's *Climate, Energy and the Environment Cluster* (since 2014).
- Co-founder and editor of the *RegNet LSN Law Research Centers Papers* (since 2013)
- *RegNet's Governance Group*, Australian National University (2014)
- *RegNet's Education Committee*, Australian National University (2011-2013; 2016)
- *OTB's Works Council*, Delft University of Technology (2008-2009)
- *OTB's PhD Council*, Delft University of Technology (2007-2008)

Academic community

- Organiser and workshop chair, INOGOv workshop on *Mapping, exploring and interrogating urban climate governance innovations* (2016)
- Organiser and panel chair, *Urban Transitions Global Summit* (2016)

- Organiser and symposium chair, Dutch Green Building Week symposium on *Voluntary programs for urban sustainability* (2012)
- Organiser and panel chair, *Law and Society Annual Meetings* (2011, 2012, 2013)
- Organiser and panel/section chair, *European Consortium for Political Research Conferences* (2011, 2014)

Ongoing professional development

| | |
|------|---|
| 2015 | <i>Qualitative Comparative Analysis (QCA)</i> , National University of Singapore <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Two-week intensive course on QCA theory and application |
| 2013 | <i>Foundations of University Teaching and Learning</i> , Centre for Higher Education, Learning & Teaching, Australian National University <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 10 module course on university teaching theory and practice |
| 2011 | <i>Applied statistics</i> , Statistical Consulting Unit, Australian National University <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Introductory data analysis • Regression and generalized linear models |
| 2008 | <i>Graduate Programme</i> , Netherlands Graduate School of Urban & Regional Research <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 6 module course on qualitative and quantitative research methods |

Publications and outreach – Dr Jeroen van der Heijden

Peer-reviewed journal articles

1. [Jeroen van der Heijden](#) and Johanna Kuhlmann (early view online, 2016) "Studying incremental institutional change: A systematic and critical meta-analysis of the literature from 2005 to 2015", *Policy Studies Journal*, DOI: 10.1111/psj.12191 (impact factor: [1.765](#))
2. [Jeroen van der Heijden](#) (accepted 12/9/16) "Brighter and darker sides of intermediation: Target-oriented and self-interested intermediaries in the regulatory governance of buildings", *Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science* (i.f.: [1.708](#))
3. [Jeroen van der Heijden](#) (early view online, 2016) "Eco-financing for low-carbon buildings and cities: Value and limits", *Urban Studies*, DOI: 10.1177/0042098016655056 (i.f.: [1.592](#))
4. [Jeroen van der Heijden](#) (2016) "Opportunities and Risks of the 'New Urban Governance' in India: To what extent can it help addressing pressing environmental problems?" *Journal of Environment and Development*, 25(3), 251-275 (i.f.: [1.824](#))
5. [Jeroen van der Heijden](#) (2016) "The new governance for low-carbon buildings: Mapping, exploring, interrogating." *Building Research and Information*, 44(5-6), 575-584 (i.f.: [1.454](#))
6. [Jeroen van der Heijden](#) (2016) "Experimental governance for low-carbon buildings and cities: Value and limits of local action networks." *Cities*, 53(April), 1-7 (i.f.: [1.728](#))
7. Huiqi Yan, Benjamin van Rooij, [Jeroen van der Heijden](#) (2016) "The Enforcement–Compliance Paradox in China's Environmental Governance: Pesticide Regulation and the Matching of Regulatory Priorities to Compliance Motivations." *China Information*, 30(2), 209-231 (i.f.: [0.966](#))
8. Huiqi Yan, [Jeroen van der Heijden](#), and Benjamin van Rooij (early view online, 2015) "Symmetric and asymmetric motivations for compliance and violation: A crisp set qualitative comparative analysis (csQCA)." *Regulation and Governance*, DOI: 10.1111/rego.12095 (i.f.: [1.800](#))
9. [Jeroen van der Heijden](#) (2015) "What roles are there for government in voluntary environmental programs?" *Environmental Policy and Governance*, 25(5), 303-315 (i.f.: [1.614](#))
10. [Jeroen van der Heijden](#) (2015) "On the potential of voluntary environmental programmes for the built environment: A critical analysis of LEED." *Journal of Housing and the Built Environment*, 30(4), 553-567 (i.f.: [0.657](#))
11. [Jeroen van der Heijden](#) (2015) "The role of government in voluntary environmental programs: A fuzzy set qualitative comparative analysis." *Public Administration*, 93(3), 576-592 (i.f.: [1.518](#))
12. Huiqi Yan, Benjamin van Rooij, and [Jeroen van der Heijden](#) (2015) "Contextual Compliance: Situational and Subjective Cost-Benefit Decisions about Pesticides by Chinese Farmers." *Law and Policy*, 37(3), 240-263 (i.f.: [1.710](#))
13. Mark Kusters and [Jeroen van der Heijden](#) (2015) "From Mechanism to Virtue: Evaluating Nudge-Theory." *Evaluation*, 21(3), 276-291 (i.f.: [1.906](#))
14. [Jeroen van der Heijden](#) (2015) "Interacting state and non-state actors in hybrid settings of public service delivery." *Administration & Society*, 47(2), 99-121 (i.f.: [0.687](#))
15. [Jeroen van der Heijden](#) (2015) "Regulatory failures, split-incentives, conflicting interests and a vicious circle of blame: The New Environmental Governance to the rescue?" *Journal of Environmental Planning and Management*, 58(6), 1034-1057 (i.f.: [1.367](#))
16. [Jeroen van der Heijden](#) (2015) "What 'works' in environmental policy-design? Lessons from experiments in the Australian and Dutch building sectors." *Journal of Environmental Policy and Planning*, 17(1), 44-64 (i.f.: [1.510](#))
17. [Jeroen van der Heijden](#) (2015) "Voluntary programmes for building retrofits: opportunities, performance and challenges." *Building Research & Information*, 35(3), 12-31 (i.f.: [1.454](#))

18. Jeroen van der Heijden (2014) "What role is there for the state in contemporary governance? Insights from the Dutch building sector." *Recht der Werkelijkheid*, 35(3), 12-31
19. Jeroen van der Heijden (2014) "Experimentation in policy-design: Insights from the building sector", *Policy Sciences*, 47(3), 249-266 (i.f.: [2.457](#))
20. Jeroen van der Heijden, Ernst ten Heuvelhof, Sonja van de Arend, Bertien Broekhans, Ellen van Bueren, Casper Harteveld and Theo van Ruijven (2014) "Contrasting stories on overcoming governance challenges: The implementation of the EU Water Framework Directive in the Netherlands." *Local Environment*, 19(3), 318-333.
21. Jeroen van der Heijden and Ernst ten Heuvelhof (2013) "Coping with mandated public participation: The case of implementing the EU Water Framework Directive in the Netherlands." *Perspectives on European Policy and Society*, 14(4) 403-417.
22. Jeroen van der Heijden (2013) "Is new governance the silver bullet? Insights from the Australian buildings sector." *Urban Policy and Research*, 31(4), 453-471. (i.f.: [0.574](#))
23. Jeroen van der Heijden (2013) "'Governing Governance': zware kost in een Jip-en-Janneke-jasje" (Governing Governance: Serious theorising presented lightly). *Bestuurskunde* 22(4), 83-88.
24. Jeroen van der Heijden (2013) "Voluntary environmental governance arrangements in the Australian building sector", *Australian Journal of Political Science*, 48(3), 349-365. (i.f.: [0.840](#))
25. Jeroen van der Heijden and Ellen van Bueren (2013) Regulating sustainable construction in Europe: An inquiry into the European Commission's harmonization attempts. *Int. Journal of Law in the Built Environment*, 5(1), 5-20.
26. Jeroen van der Heijden (2013) "Greening the building sector: Roles for building surveyors." *Journal of Building Survey, Appraisal & Valuation*, 2 (1), 24-32.
27. Jeroen van der Heijden (2013) "Different but equally plausible narratives of policy transformation: A plea for theoretical pluralism." *International Political Science Review* 34(1), 57-73. (i.f.: [0.881](#))
28. Jeroen van der Heijden (2013) "De geest van het Franse bouwtoezicht in een fragile Nederlandse fles" (Review of and critique to proposal to change Dutch building Code enforcement), *Tijdschrift voor Veiligheid* (Dutch Journal of Public Safety), 12(1), 56-59.
29. Jeroen van der Heijden and Ernst ten Heuvelhof (2012) "The mechanics of virtue: Lessons on public participation from implementing the European Water Framework Directive in the Netherlands." *Environmental Policy and Governance* 22 (3), 177-188. (i.f.: [1.614](#))
30. Jeroen van der Heijden (2012) "Voluntary Environmental Governance Arrangements." *Environmental Politics* 21 (3), 486-509. (i.f.: [1.990](#))
31. Jeroen van der Heijden (2011) "Friends, enemies, or strangers? On relationships between public and private sector service providers in hybrid forms of governance." *Law & Policy* 33 (3), 367-390. (i.f.: [1.710](#))
32. Jeroen van der Heijden (2011) "Institutional layering: A review of the use of the concept." *Politics* 31 (1), 9-18. (i.f.: [0.490](#))
33. Jeroen van der Heijden (2010) "Smart Privatization: Lessons from Private-Sector Involvement in Australian and Canadian Building Regulatory Enforcement Regimes." *Journal of Comparative Policy Analysis* 12 (5), 509-525. (i.f.: [0.612](#))
34. Jeroen van der Heijden (2010) "One task, a few approaches, many impacts. Private sector involvement in Canadian building code enforcement." *Canadian Public Administration*, 53(3), 351-374.

35. Jeroen van der Heijden (2010) "A short history of studying institutional change." *Regulation and Governance* 4 (2), 230-243. (i.f.: 1.800)
36. Jeroen van der Heijden (2010) "Privatization of building code enforcement: a comparative study of regimes in Australia and Canada." *Int. J. of Law in the Built Environment*, 2(1), 60-75.
37. Jeroen van der Heijden (2010) "On Peanuts and Monkeys: Private sector involvement in Australian building control." *Urban Policy and Research* 28 (2), 195-210. (impact factor: 0.574)
38. Jeroen van der Heijden and Ernst ten Heuvelhof (2010) "Noord/Zuidlijn Amsterdam." (Failing governance in planning the Amsterdam North-South subway) *Tijdschrift voor bouwrecht* (Dutch Journal of Law in the Built Environment) 9/161, 882-888.
39. Jeroen van der Heijden (2010) "Mix op maat! Over de samenhang tussen gewenste en ongewenste gevolgen van privaat toezicht." (Understanding the outcomes of privatised enforcement) *Toezicht* (Dutch Journal of Regulatory Enforcement), 1(1), 21-45.
40. Jeroen van der Heijden and Jitske de Jong (2009) "Towards a better understanding of building regulation." *Environment and Planning B: Planning and Design*, 36(6), 1038-1052. (i.f.: 0.983)
41. Jeroen van der Heijden (2009) "Comparative analysis of building regulation: an analytical tool." *Int. Journal of Law in the Built Environment*, 1(1), 9-25.
42. Jeroen van der Heijden (2008) "Privaat wat kan, publiek wat moet? Een kritische beschouwing van een ruim geformuleerde sturingsfilosofie." (A critical perspective on Dutch construction policy) *Tijdschrift voor bouwrecht* (Dutch Journal of Law in the Built Environment), (10), 926-932.
43. Jeroen van der Heijden, Henk Visscher and Frits Meijer (2007) "Problems in enforcing Dutch building regulations." *Structural Survey*, 24(3/4), 319-329.

Books

44. Jeroen van der Heijden (2017) *Voluntary programs for sustainable cities: Potential and limits in decarbonising the built environment*. Cambridge University Press (in print).
45. Jeroen van der Heijden (2014) *Governance for Urban Sustainability and Resilience: Responding to Climate Change and the Role of the Built Environment*. Cheltenham: Edward Elgar
46. Jeroen van der Heijden (2009) *De voor- en nadelen van privatisering van het bouwtoezicht*. (The pros and cons of private sector building control) The Hague: Stichting Instituut voor Bouwrecht
47. Jeroen van der Heijden (2009) *Building regulatory enforcement regimes. Comparative analysis of private sector involvement in the enforcement of public building regulations*. (PhD thesis) Amsterdam/Delft: IOS Press/Delft University Press
48. Jeroen van der Heijden (2008) *Competitive Enforcement. Comparative analysis of Australian building regulatory enforcement regimes*. Amsterdam/Delft: IOS Press/Delft University Press

Book chapters

49. Jeroen van der Heijden (expected publication in 2018) "Policentric urban governance: How to move from leaders to majority?", in: Jordan, A. et al. (ed), *Governing Climate Change: Polycentricity in Action*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press
50. Jeroen van der Heijden (expected publication in 2017) "Hybrid governance for low-carbon buildings: Lessons from the United States", in: Wilkinson, S. (ed), *Handbook for Sustainable Real Estate*. Milton Park: Routledge.
51. Jeroen van der Heijden (in press, publication in 2017) "Urban sustainability and resilience", in: Drahos, P. (ed), *Regulation, Institutions and Networks*. Canberra: ANU Press.
52. Adriana Sanchez, Paul Osmond, and Jeroen van der Heijden (in press, publication 2016) "Resilient Policies for Wicked Problems: Increasing Resilience in a Complex and Uncertain

- World through Information Integration”, in: Sanchez, A., Hampson, K., and London, G. (eds), *Integrating Information in Built Environments*. Abingdon: Routledge.
53. Jeroen van der Heijden (2016) “Networked enforcement”, in: Drake, S. & Smith, M. (eds), *New Directions in Effective Enforcement of EU Law*. Cheltenham: Edward Elgar, 77-104.
 54. Jeroen van der Heijden (2014) “Selecting cases and inferential types in comparative public policy research.” in: Engeli, I. & Rothmayr, C. (eds), *Comparative Policy Studies: Conceptual and Methodological Challenges*. London: Palgrave, 35-56.
 55. Ernst ten Heuvelhof en Jeroen van der Heijden (2011) “Onderzoekscommissies en evaluatierapportages. Noord/Zuidlijn Amsterdam” (On research committees and evaluation reports) in: City of Amsterdam Project Management Bureau (PMB), *Achter de werkelijkheid van projecten* (The reality of projects), Amsterdam: PMB, 50-67.
 56. Jeroen van der Heijden (2011) “Duurzaamheid van de gebouwde omgeving. Positieve geluiden na enig pessimisme” (Sustainability of the built environment. Some positive insights after much critique) in: City of Amsterdam Project Management Bureau (PMB), *Achter de werkelijkheid van projecten* (The reality of projects), Amsterdam: PMB, 18-33.
 57. Jeroen van der Heijden (2011) “Regels en gewoonten. Boodschap en werkelijkheid” (Regulations and norms; Message and reality) in: City of Amsterdam Project Management Bureau (PMB), *Achter de werkelijkheid van projecten* (The reality of projects), Amsterdam: PMB, 8-15.
 58. Jeroen van der Heijden, Bertien Broekhans and Ernst ten Heuvelhof (2010), “Verwachtingsmanagement in de kolommenstructuur: In de polder domineerde de vraag: mag het een onsje minder?” (Expectations in implementing the EU Water Framework Directive), in: Van der Arend, S. et al. (eds), *Tien Jaar Ervaring met de Europese Kaderrichtlijn Water* (Implementing the European Water Framework Directive: Ten Years After), The Hague: Dutch Ministry of Transport, Public Works and Management, 81-90.
 59. Bertien Broekhans, Jeroen van der Heijden and Ernst ten Heuvelhof (2010) “Convergerende planning en ontkoppeling van beleid: mag het een onsje meer zijn?” (The Dutch implementation process of the EU Water Framework Directive), in: Van der Arend, S. et al. (eds), *Tien Jaar Ervaring met de Europese Kaderrichtlijn Water* (Implementing the European Water Framework Directive: Ten Years After), 91-100.
 60. Jitske de Jong and Jeroen van der Heijden (2010) “Vernieuwing door samenwerking. Over het betrekken van private partijen bij het handhaven van publiekrechtelijke bouwregelgeving” (On private sector involvement in the enforcement of public building regulations), in: Chao-Duivis, M. et.al. (eds), *Alleen Samen* (Only in Collaboration), The Hague: Stichting Instituut voor Bouwrecht, 57-66.

Policy and practitioner journal articles

61. Jeroen van der Heijden (2016) “Looking Differently at Legal Change: Layering, Conversion, Drift, Displacement and Exhaustion in the Development of Dutch Construction Regulation.”, *KLRI Journal of Law and Legislation*, 6(1), 82-111.
62. Jeroen van der Heijden (2014) “Greening the building sector: Surveyors as change agents.”, *RICS Journal*, 2014(May/June), 18-20
63. Jeroen van der Heijden (2014) “Greening the building sector: Much talk, little walk.”, *RICS Journal*, 2014(March/April), 10-12.
64. Jeroen van der Heijden (2013) “Win-Win-Win? Promises of and limitations to voluntarily greening the building sector.”, *Construction, Infrastructure, Architecture* (Aug-Sep), 80-85
65. Jeroen van der Heijden (2013) “Clashing Validities in the Comparative Method? Balancing In-Depth Understanding and Generalizability in Small-N Policy Studies.”, *Amsterdam Law School Research Paper No. 2013-10*, General Subserie Research Paper No. 2013-02

66. Jeroen van der Heijden (2013) "Looking Forward and Sideways: Trajectories of New Governance Theory.", *Amsterdam Law School Research Paper No. 2013-04*, General Subseries Research Paper No. 2013-01
67. Jeroen van der Heijden and Ellen van Bueren (2011) "European Sustainable Construction Regulation: Homogeneity and Attention.", *ANU Centre for European Studies Briefing Paper Series* Canberra: ANUCES
68. Henk Vermande and Jeroen van der Heijden (2011) "Duurzaamheid in diverse Europese landen. *Bouwregels in de praktijk* (Dutch Building Code Journal), 7(6), 14-16.
69. Jeroen van der Heijden and Heleen Vreugdenhil (2011) "Pilotprojecten: veilig inzicht krijgen in privaat bouwtoezicht" (How pilot projects may help to improve building safety). *Bouwregels in de praktijk* (Dutch Building Code Journal), 7(5), 18-21.
70. Jeroen van der Heijden (2008) "Pas op dat Fundamentele Verkenning geen fundamentele vergissing wordt" (review and critique to proposal to change Dutch Building Code Enforcement), *Bouwregels in de praktijk* (Dutch Building Code Journal), 3(10), 26-29.
71. Jeroen van der Heijden (2008) "Comparatively analyzing building regulation in the Netherlands, Australia and Canada.", *OBOA Journal*, 78, 30-31.
72. Jeroen van der Heijden (2008) "Public vs. private. Competition in Australian statutory building assessment.", *The Australian Building Surveyor*, 28(3), 12-15.
73. Jeroen van der Heijden (2008) "Wat kunnen we leren van privaat bouwtoezicht in Australië?" (What can the Netherlands learn from Australian building code enforcement?), *Bouwregels in de praktijk* (Dutch Building Code Journal), 3(1/2), 14-17.

Peer reviewed conference papers

74. Jeroen van der Heijden (2016) "Innovative governance for urban sustainability: a leadership delusion?", *Urban Transitions Global Summit 2016*, Shanghai, China: Elsevier.
75. Adriana Sanchez, Jeroen van der Heijden, Paul Osmond, and Deo Prasad (2016) "Urban Sustainable Resilience Values: Driving Resilience Policy that Endures.", *World Building Congress 2016*, Helsinki, Finland: CIB.
76. Adriana Sanchez, Paul Osmond, and Jeroen van der Heijden (2016) "Are some forms of resilience more sustainable than others?", *SBE16 Sustainable Built Environment*, Helsinki, Finland: SBE.
77. Adriana Sanchez, Paul Osmond, and Jeroen van der Heijden (2016) "The untapped potential of information flows for long-term urban resilience policy", *6th International Conference on Building Resilience*, Auckland, New Zealand: ICBR.
78. Jeroen van der Heijden (2016) "Voluntary programs for low-carbon cities: A governance innovation that is too good to be true?", *SBE16 Sustainable Built Environment*, Utrecht, Netherlands: SBE.
79. Jeroen van der Heijden (2015) "What type of voluntary environmental programmes are effective in a city context, and why? An international fuzzy set qualitative comparative analysis (fsQCA)." *Earth Systems Governance Conference 2015*, Canberra, Australia: ESG.
80. Sara Wilkinson, Jeroen van der Heijden, and Sarah Sayce (2015) "Hybrid governance instruments for built environment sustainability and resilience: A comparative perspective", *COBRA 2015*, Sydney, Australia: COBRA.
81. Jeroen van der Heijden (2014) "Networked Enforcement.", *New Directions in Effective Enforcement of EU Law*, Cardiff, UK: Cardiff Law School
82. Jeroen van der Heijden (2014) "What design condition affect the outcomes of voluntary environmental programs, and how? A fuzzy-set analysis of 35 cases from Australia, the

- Netherlands and the United States”, *European Consortium for Political Research General Conference 2014*, Glasgow, UK: ECPR.
83. Jeroen van der Heijden (2014) “Zooming in on regulatory intermediaries: The impact of non-state regulators on sustainable construction practice.”, *SASE: The Institutional Foundations of Capitalism*, Chicago, USA: Northwestern University/University of Chicago.
 84. Jeroen van der Heijden (2014) “How to get grandpa moving? Understanding the potential of non-coercive governance in achieving urban sustainability.”, *ESG: Access and Allocation in the Anthropocene*, Norwich, UK: University of East Anglia.
 85. Jeroen van der Heijden (2014) “Whatever happened to the State in the shift from government to governance? Unpacking 41 governance arrangements in Australia, India, the Netherlands, Singapore and the USA.”. *VSR Annual Meeting 2014*, Dutch Socio-Legal Scholarship Association, Oegstgeest, the Netherlands: VSR.
 86. Jeroen van der Heijden (2013) “The role of the State in new governance: reinventing government ... again?”, *LSA2013 Annual Meeting*. Boston, USA: LSA.
 87. Jeroen van der Heijden (2012) “Exploring the Emergence of Voluntary Environmental Governance Arrangements: Insights from the Australian Buildings Sector.”, *4th Biennial ECPR Standing Group for Regulatory Governance Conference*. Exeter, UK: ECPR.
 88. Jeroen van der Heijden (2012) “New Governance Arrangements in the Australian Buildings Sector: A Story of Limited Success.”, *LSA2012 Annual Meeting*. Honolulu, USA: LSA.
 89. Jeroen van der Heijden (2011) “Voluntary regulatory regimes in environmental governance: a review.”, *LSA2011 Annual Meeting*. San Francisco, USA: LSA.
 90. Jeroen van der Heijden (2011) “Privatised regulatory enforcement.”, *European Consortium for Political Research General Conference 2011*. Reykjavik, Iceland: ECPR.
 91. Jeroen van der Heijden (2010) “A critique to Rothstein’s Freedom of Choice Reasoning.”, *Critical Governance Studies Conference*. Warwick, UK: Warwick Business School.
 92. Jeroen van der Heijden (2010) “Governing the eco-city utopia.”, *NGI 2010 Conference on Next Generation Infrastructure for Eco-Cities* Shenzhen, China: Next Generation Infrastructures.
 93. Jeroen van der Heijden (2010) “Some thoughts on a move towards a facilitating state.”, *NIG 2010 Annual Work Conference*. Maastricht, the Netherlands: Netherlands Institute for Governance.
 94. Jeroen van der Heijden and Ellen van Bueren (2010) “Studying sustainable construction initiatives from a public policy point of view.”, *ERSCP-EMSU 2010 conference -Knowledge Collaboration & Learning for Sustainable Innovation*. Delft, the Netherlands: ERSCP-EMSU.
 95. Jeroen van der Heijden (2010) “The legal framework wasn't built in one day. Layering, conversion, drift and displacement in the development of Dutch construction policy.”, *LSA2010 annual meeting*. Chicago: Law and Society Association.
 96. Jeroen van der Heijden (2009) “Hybridization of governance: the challenge of balancing policy outcomes.”, *LSA2009 annual meeting*. Denver: Law and Society Association.
 97. Jeroen van der Heijden (2008) “Building new regulatory regimes: Enforcing building regulations in Australia and Canada.”, *(Re)Regulation in the Wake of Neoliberalism*. Utrecht: Standing Group on Regulatory Governance of the ECPR.
 98. Jeroen van der Heijden (2008) “Building control in Australia. Experiences with private sector involvement.”, *COBRA 2008*. Dublin: RICS.
 99. Jeroen van der Heijden (2007) “Enforcement of building regulations: from public regulation to self-regulation; a theoretical approach.”, *ENHR International Conference 2007*. Rotterdam: ENHR/Onderzoeksinstituut OTB.

100. Jeroen van der Heijden (2006) "Enforcing Dutch building regulations: cutting or altering red tape?", *ENHR conference 2006: Housing in an expanding Europe*. Ljubljana: Urban Planning Institute.
101. Jeroen van der Heijden, Henk Visscher and Frits Meijer (2006) "Development of Dutch Building Control (1982-2003).", *Shaping the Change; XXIII international FIG congress*. Munich: FIG Office.
102. Jeroen van der Heijden, Henk Visscher and Frits Meijer (2006) "Shifting Responsibilities in Building Control in the Netherlands: a Historical Perspective.", *Second International Congress on Construction History*. Cambridge: Queens' College.

Contributions to the popular media

103. Invited opinion (2015) "The voluntary program series, Part 8: How to get beyond the leadership delusion", *The Fifth Estate* (11 December, <http://www.thefifthestate.com.au/spinifex/the-voluntary-program-series-part-8-how-to-get-beyond-the-leadership-delusion/79336>)
104. Invited opinion (2015) "The voluntary program series, part 7: programs in the Global South", *The Fifth Estate* (9 December, <http://www.thefifthestate.com.au/spinifex/the-voluntary-program-series-part-7-programs-in-the-global-south/79302>)
105. Invited opinion (2015) "The voluntary program series, Part 6: Promising voluntary programs for low-carbon buildings", *The Fifth Estate* (4 December, <http://www.thefifthestate.com.au/spinifex/the-voluntary-program-series-part-6-promising-voluntary-programs-for-low-carbon-buildings/79188>)
106. Invited opinion (2015) "The voluntary program series, Part 5: New forms of financing for low-carbon buildings", *The Fifth Estate* (2 December, <http://www.thefifthestate.com.au/spinifex/the-voluntary-program-series-part-5-new-forms-of-financing-for-low-carbon-buildings/79140>)
107. Invited opinion (2015) "The voluntary program series, Part 4: Government as knowledge broker for low-carbon buildings", *The Fifth Estate* (27 November, <http://www.thefifthestate.com.au/spinifex/the-voluntary-program-series-part-4-government-as-knowledge-broker-for-low-carbon-buildings/79037>)
108. Invited opinion (2015) "The voluntary program series, Part 3: the muddy business of low-carbon building certification and classification", *The Fifth Estate* (25 November, <http://www.thefifthestate.com.au/spinifex/the-voluntary-program-series-part-3-the-muddy-business-of-low-carbon-building-certification-and-classification/78944>)
109. Invited opinion (2015) "The voluntary program series, Part 2: rewards and enforcement", *The Fifth Estate* (20 November, <http://www.thefifthestate.com.au/spinifex/the-voluntary-program-series-part-2-rewards-and-enforcement/78865>)
110. Invited opinion (2015) "The voluntary programs series, Part 1: the limits and challenges", *The Fifth Estate* (18 November, <http://www.thefifthestate.com.au/spinifex/the-value-and-limits-of-voluntary-programs-for-low-carbon-buildings/78807>)
111. Invited opinion (2015) "Cities need innovative governance tools and brave politicians to combat climate change", *The Fifth Estate* (8 January, <http://www.thefifthestate.com.au/spinifex/cities-need-innovative-governance-tools-and-brave-politicians-to-combat-climate-change/70354>)
112. Invited opinion (2015) "Cities need innovative governance tools and brave politicians to combat climate change", *The Fifth Estate* (8 January, <http://www.thefifthestate.com.au/spinifex/cities-need-innovative-governance-tools-and-brave-politicians-to-combat-climate-change/70354>)
113. Invited opinion (2014) "Making cities better: voluntary programs aren't enough", *The Conversation US* (19 December, <http://theconversation.com/making-cities-better-voluntary-programs-arent-enough-35535>)

114. Invited opinion (2014) "Urban sustainability: Australian cities leading the world ... for now", *The Independent Australia* (17 December, <https://independentaustralia.net/environment/environment-display/australian-cities-please-keep-leading-the-world-in-governance-for-urban-sustainability-and-resilience,7199>)
115. Invited opinion (2014) "Open Mumbai: How PK Das set out to map the city's slums", *The Guardian* (28 November, <http://www.theguardian.com/cities/2014/nov/28/open-mumbai-how-pk-das-set-out-to-map-the-citys-slums>)
116. Invited opinion (2014) "Green Star not shining in the 'burbs", *Timber+ DESIGN online* (4 April, <http://www.timberdesignmag.com/articles/green-star-shining-burbs/>)
117. Invited opinion (2014) "Benchmarking building performance: What can we learn from LEED", *The Fifth Estate* (2 April, <http://www.thefifthestate.com.au/archives/60984/>)
118. Invited opinion (2014) "Voluntary action for sustainable and resilient cities won't be enough", *The Independent Australia* (19 March, <http://www.independentaustralia.net/environment/environment-display/voluntary-action-for-sustainable-and-resilient-cities-wont-be-enough,6290>)
119. Invited opinion (2014) "Green building revolution? Only in high-end new CBD offices", *The Conversation* (19 March, <https://theconversation.com/green-building-revolution-only-in-high-end-new-cbd-offices-24535>)
120. Radio interview (2013) "Towards truly resilient cities", *Tuesday Daily, 2ser 107.3FM* (12 December, <http://www.2ser.com/component/k2/item/6525-towards-truly-resilient-cities>)
121. Invited opinion (2013) "Towards truly resilient cities: Stop being soft on old buildings", *The Conversation* (6 December, <http://theconversation.com/towards-truly-resilient-cities-stop-being-soft-on-old-buildings-21071>)
122. Magazine interview (2012) "Sustainable development: Breaking down the barriers", *The Australian Building Surveyor* 2012/13(Summer), 12-14 (interview, Australian practitioner journal)
123. Radio interview (2012) "The role of sustainable buildings", *SBS Radio*, (5 November 2012, radio interview, SBS Radio, Australia)
124. Magazine interview (2010) "Expertdiscussie bouwtoezicht" (Experts on building code enforcement). *Bouwregels in de praktijk* 13(3),6-8 (interview, Dutch practitioner journal)
125. Newspaper interview (2010) "Certificering door particuliere bureaus vervangt overheidstoezicht op bedrijven" (Private certification replaces governmental enforcement). *Financieel Dagblad* (7 August, interview, Dutch national newspaper)
126. Newspaper interview (2010) "Bouwplantoets tussen wal en schip: (Building code enforcement between two stools). *Cobouw* (9 April, interview, Dutch national newspaper)
127. Magazine interview (2010) "Systeemtoezicht kampt nog met aanloophobbel" (Meta-enforcement still falls short). *PM* (9 April, interview, Dutch policy journal)
128. Newspaper interview (2010) "Volledige privatisering bouwtoezicht niet verstandig" (Do not fully privatize building code enforcement). *Cobouw* (17 February, interview, Dutch newspaper)
129. Invited opinion (2009) "Cijfers constructiefouten, kunnen we er iets mee?" (What do the numbers tell us?). *Cobouw*, (18 August, invited opinion, Dutch national newspaper)
130. Invited opinion (2009) "Weer een onnodige regel minder" (Another unnecessary rule scrapped). *Reformatoisch Dagblad* (27 June, invited opinion, Dutch national newspaper)
131. Magazine interview (2009) "Privatisering geen garantie voor bouwveiligheid" (Privatized building code enforcement: no guarantee for safety). *HandHaving* 2 (25), 24-26 (interview, Dutch policy journal)

132. Invited opinion (2009) “Vooroordeel nekt beter bouwtoezicht” (Prejudice kills better building control). *Cobouw* (9 March, invited opinion, Dutch national newspaper)
133. Invited opinion (2009) “Privaat toezicht geen garantie veiligheid. Externe specialisten vooral nuttig voor kleine gemeenten” (Private building control no guarantee for safety). *Cobouw* (23 February, invited opinion, Dutch national newspaper)
134. Magazine interview (2009) “Privatisering biedt geen garantie voor de bouwveiligheid” (Privatized building code enforcement: no guarantee for safety). *Weekblad Facilitair & Gebouwbeheer* 161(4), 14-15 (interview, Dutch practitioner journal)
135. Magazine interview (2008) “Promotieonderzoek handhaving en regelgeving: Laat private partijen complexe plannen toetsen” (PhD project on private sector building code enforcement). *Bouwregels in de praktijk*, 11(3),6-8 (interview, Dutch practitioner journal)

Policy reports

136. Henk Vermande and Jeroen van der Heijden (2011) *The Lead Market Initiative and Sustainable Construction. Final report*, Bodegraven/Delft: PRC/TU-Delft
137. Jeroen van der Heijden and Floris Bolkestein (2010) *Gedelegeerd toezicht: bestuurskundige en juridische beschouwingen*. (Delegated Enforcement), Delft: TBM/TU-Delft.
138. Ernst ten Heuvelhof, Jeroen van der Heijden, et. al. (2010) *Evaluatie van het implementatieproces van de Kaderrichtlijn Water*. (Evaluation Implementation Water Framework Directive), Delft: TBM/TU-Delft.
139. Wim Gielingh, Jeroen van der Heijden, et. al. (2010) *Vertrouwen en Betrouwbaarheid - deel II. Een ontwerp voor de innovatie van het systeem van bouwtoezicht*. (Innovative Approaches to Building Code Enforcement), Delft: Stichting Expertisecentrum Regelgeving Bouw.
140. Jeroen van der Heijden and Heleen Vreugdenhil (2009) *Naar een vernieuwd systeem van bouwtoezicht*. (Future of Dutch Building Control 2), Delft: TBM/TU-Delft.
141. Jeroen van der Heijden and Hans de Bruijn (2009) *De toekomst van het bouw- en woningtoezicht in Amsterdam. Een discussienotitie*. (Future of Municipality of Amsterdam's Building Control Department), Delft: TBM/TU-Delft.
142. Jeroen van der Heijden (2009) *Modellen voor een vernieuwd systeem van bouwtoezicht*. (Future of Dutch Building Control 1), Delft: TBM/TU-Delft.
143. Jeroen van der Heijden, Henk Visscher and Frits Meijer (2006) *Bouwtoezicht bij veelvoorkomende bouwactiviteiten*. (Building control in the Netherlands), The Hague: VROM.

Invited presentations and keynotes

1. *Between evidence and experiments-based regulation: hybrids and nudges*, Beyond best in class regulation: Meeting the challenge, Australian National University, Canberra, Australia – 8 August.
2. *Governing the transition to low-carbon and energy efficient cities: Regulation, markets, and hybrids*, Clean Energy Regulator, Canberra, Australia – 12 July 2016
3. *Changes and Challenges: Global Regulation and Governance*, Korean Legalisation Research Institute, Sejong, Republic of Korea – 28 June 2016
4. *Current trends on regulation and enforcement: Reviewing the regulatory literature*, Korean Legalisation Research Institute, Seoul, Republic of Korea – 24 June 2016
5. *The challenge of governing urban resilience and possible solutions from cities in the Asia-Pacific*, Korean Legalisation Research Institute, Seoul, Republic of Korea – 23 June 2016

6. *Governance innovations for sustainable and resilient cities: Best practices and key-lessons from around the globe*, ICLEI Session at the Seoul Urban Policy Forum, Seoul, Republic of Korea – 9 June 2016
7. *Governance innovations for low-carbon cities: Insights from Asia*, IGLUS Executive Master Module, Sungkyunkwan University, Seoul, Republic of Korea – 7 June 2016
8. *Voluntary programs for low-carbon cities*, Institute for Governance and Policy Analysis, University of Canberra, Canberra, Australia – 5 May 2016
9. *Governance for urban sustainability*, Chinese Communist Party School, Beijing, China – 19 November 2015
10. *Regulation and enforcement of building safety*, Korea Legislation Research Institute, Seoul, Korea – 15 October 2015
11. *Contemporary urban governance for mitigation and adaptation*, INOGOV, Prague, Czech Republic – 5 June 2015
12. *Climate Change and the City*, College of Law, Australian National University, Canberra, Australia – 17 February 2015
13. *Governance for Urban Sustainability and Resilience*, RegNet, Australian National University, Canberra, Australia – 10 February 2015
14. *Good governance for urban sustainability and resilience*, URBAN FUTURES Global Conference, United Nations, Graz, Austria – 18 November 2014
15. *Governance for Urban Sustainability and Resilience*, Tyndall Centre for Climate Change Studies, University of East Anglia, Norwich, UK – 4 November 2014
16. *Governance for Urban Sustainability and Resilience*, LSE Cities, London School of Economics, London, UK – 1 October 2014
17. *Ongedwongen Duurzaam (Voluntarily Sustainable)*, Netherlands Environmental Assessment Agency, Bilthoven, Netherlands – 18 September 2014
18. *Innovations in environmental governance: Governing for a sustainable built environment*, RegNet, Australian National University, Canberra, Australia – 26 November 2013
19. *Regulatory Theory: From Australia to India and back again*, Centre for Southeast Asian & Pacific Studies, Sri Venkateswara University, Tirupati, India – 6 September 2013
20. *Governing Green Buildings and Green Cities: Environmental Challenges for India and Abroad*, Centre for Southeast Asian & Pacific Studies, Sri Venkateswara University, Tirupati, India – 4 September 2013
21. *Governance for Sustainable City Development*, Green Cities and Townships, Mumbai, India – 26 April 2013
22. *Beyond the Built Environment: Achieving India's Sustainable Future*, EcoBuild-India, Mumbai, India – 16 April 2013
23. *Harvesting the low hanging fruit? 'New governance' in the Dutch and Australian buildings sectors*, ANUCES, Australian National University, Canberra, Australia – 6 November 2012
24. *Comparison of International Sustainability Solutions*, Australian Institute for Building Surveyors, Melbourne, Australia – 23 October 2012
25. *Ongedwongen Duurzaam Bouwen (Non-coerced sustainable development)*, Delft University of Technology, Delft, The Netherlands – 3 October 2012
26. *Ongedwongen duurzaam (Non-coerced sustainability)*, Dutch Green Building Council/University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, The Netherlands – 17 September 2012

27. *New Governance: yet another new regulatory paradigm?* Guest lecture, University of Amsterdam/VU University Amsterdam, Amsterdam, The Netherlands – 10 September 2012
28. *Australian Sustainable Development: A Tale of Limited Success*, RegNet, Australian National University, Canberra, Australia – 10 April 2012
29. *EU Lead Market Initiative for Sustainable Construction: Towards More Regulatory Homogeneity and Attention*, ANU Centre for European Studies, Canberra, Australia - 27 September 2011
30. *Voluntary Regulatory Regimes in the Asia Pacific*, ANU Asia Pacific Week 2011, Canberra, Australia – 12 July 2011
31. *Voluntary Environmental Governance Arrangements*, RegNet seminar series, Canberra, Australia – 22 June 2011
32. *Facilitative Governance and Sustainable Development*, Oxford Institute for Sustainable Development, Oxford Brookes University, United Kingdom – 17 November 2010
33. *Duurzaam Bouwen: een Holistische Visie* (Sustainable Construction: a Holistic View), Project Management Bureau Amsterdam's Lecture Series, Amsterdam, the Netherlands – 14 October 2010
34. *Good Enforcement/Bad Enforcement*, Codes and the Built Environment Symposium, University of Manchester, Manchester, UK – 11 October 2010
35. *Aplicação dos regulamentos de construção* (Enforcing building codes), AdUrbem 2009 Conference, Lisboa, Portugal – 27 November 2009
36. *Bouwen onder Certificaat* (Certified construction), VBWTN Symposium 2009, Dutch Building Officials Association (Vereniging Bouw en Woningtoezicht Nederland), Rotterdam, the Netherlands – 26 November 2009
37. *Handhaving in de bouw* (Enforcing construction), Symposium Handhaving en Gedrag (Enforcement and Behaviour), Dutch Ministry of Justice, Utrecht, the Netherlands – 5 November 2009
38. *Privaat toezicht* (Private sector enforcement), Symposium Toezicht in de Bouw (Enforcement in the buildings sector), Dutch Building Institute, Nieuwegein, the Netherlands – 1 July 2009
39. *Is it all in the mix?*, VIDE (Dutch umbrella organization for inspectorates), the Hague, the Netherlands – 11 May 2009

Guest lectures (coursework)

40. *Opportunities and constraints of voluntary policies for low-carbon cities*, Graduate School of Policy Science, Ritsumeikan University, Ibaraki, Japan – 23 November 2016
41. *Decarbonising the built environment*, Crawford School of Public Policy, Australian National University, Canberra, Australia – 22 March 2016
42. *Qualitative Comparative Analysis (QCA)*, Crawford School of Public Policy, Australian National University, Canberra, Australia – 25 February 2016
43. *Comparative research designs for small-n and medium-n studies*, RegNet Methods Lab, Regulatory Institutions Network, Australian National University, Canberra, Australia – 23 June 2015
44. *Fuzzy Set Qualitative Comparative Analysis (fsQCA)*, Crawford School of Public Policy, Australian National University, Canberra, Australia – 2 March 2015
45. *Comparative qualitative analysis: A socio-legal example*, Amsterdam Law School, University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, the Netherlands – 26 February 2015
46. *Comparative qualitative analysis: A socio-legal example*, Amsterdam Law School, University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, the Netherlands – 25 November 2013 (video conference)

47. *Friends, Strangers or Enemies*, Selected topics in Australian and U.S. Law Course, College of Law, Australian National University, Canberra, Australia – 5 August 2013
48. *Multidisciplinary research in a nutshell*, College of Law, ECR Socio-Legal Workshop, Australian National University, Australia – 15 February 2013
49. *Regulatory Enforcement and Privatisation*, Australian National Institute of Public Policy, Australia – 24 October 2011
50. *Comparative policy analysis*, RegNet PHD seminar, Canberra, Australia – 1 August 2011
51. *Toezicht op Toezicht* (Enforcing enforcement), NSOB (Dutch School for Public Administration), the Hague, the Netherlands – 24 November 2010

Social media

| | |
|---------|---|
| Website | www.JeroenvanderHeijden.net |
| Twitter | https://twitter.com/DrVanderHeijden |
| Blog | http://urbansustainabilityresilience.wordpress.com/ |

Research impact (synopsis) – Dr Jeroen van der Heijden

Book reviews

Governance for Urban Sustainability and Resilience (2014)

- ‘The deleterious effects of urbanism on the environment are one of the foremost challenges of the 21st century, and Jeroen van der Heijden’s book is a timely intervention. His argument is that while there is technical knowledge and social know-how about how to enhance the sustainability and resilience of cities, there is need to harness both by developing appropriate governance approaches and tools.’
– Rob Imrie, *Building Research & Information*, 2015
- ‘The author presents a comprehensive overview of the challenges, opportunities, and constraints that government and non-government organizations face in achieving urban sustainability and resilience. The book is readable, accessible, and innovative in proposing new approaches. [It is] informative and instructive for understanding the complexities of urban governance.’
– Stephanie Shipp, *Science & Public Policy*, 2015
- ‘This new book makes an important contribution to policy and programs for building sector energy efficiency, sustainability and resilience to natural hazards. It an essential reference for planners and policy makers engaged in programs to achieve sustainability and resilience in buildings and infrastructure. It is clearly written, with conclusions supported by case examples and references. Jeroen van der Heijden deserves praise for a very careful assessment of what has worked and not worked to improve building sustainability (energy and resource efficiency) and to increase resiliency (disaster risk reduction).’
– Donald Watson, *recoverydiva.com*, 2014

Building Regulatory Enforcement Regimes (2009)

- ‘A book on styles of regulation, enforcement and planning scrutiny in construction law. That promises hearty fare. And that it is. But the book is a pleasure to read.’
– Heinrich Winter, *Binnenlands Bestuur*, 2009

Grant assessments

VIDI Mid-Career Researcher Grant (2015), Netherlands Organisation for Scientific Research

- ‘I think the candidate is among the top of his peer group, considering in the number of his publications as well as the constancy of his research: it is not easy to reach this level of international publication for a young researcher.’
– Reviewer 1
- ‘Outstanding [performance]. [His] work on instruments, enforcement and collaborative governance has been acknowledged as at the forefront of current developments in the field, both for its broad-ranging and widely relevant theoretical developments and specific findings and policy implications in the field of sustainable urban development.’
– Reviewer 2
- ‘Based on the applicant’s research trajectory he belongs to the top 10-20%.’
– Reviewer 3

DECRA Discovery Early Career Researcher Award (2014), Australian Research Council

- ‘The candidate presents an outstanding record. His record of achievement, scaled to his relevant fields in social science, are nothing less than remarkable and marked by high levels of quality and innovation. The publication and granting records are stellar

given the time frame they have been achieved in and the quality of outlets and funding agencies. He is already a scholar of great accomplishment who has signalled nonetheless a compelling case for a period of dedicated project support to complete his early career stage.'

– Reviewer A

- 'Since being awarded a PhD in 2009, the candidate has produced an outstanding range of high quality publications, many directly relevant to the proposed project. The benefit of experience in two continents at leading institutions provides good breadth and networks for a DECRA such as that proposed here.'

– Reviewer B

Uptake in policy and business

References to my work in various government and NGO reports; these include

- World Bank/GFDRR (2015), *Building Regulation for Resilience: Managing Risks for Safer Cities*.
- US Advisory Committee on Earthquake Hazards Reduction (2015), *National Earthquake Hazards Reduction Program NEHRP-ACEHR 2015 Report*.
- APEC/USAid (2013), *APEC Building Codes, Regulations, and Standards: Minimum, Mandatory and Green*.

Invitations to act as member of government and NGO advisory committees; these include

- *Australian Sustainable Built Environment Council, "Low Carbon, High Performance Report"* (2016).
- *World Bank/ Global Facility for Disaster Reduction and Recovery, "Building Regulation Reduction and Recovery Program"* (2015).
- *Dutch Ministry of Infrastructure and the Environment, "Privatisation of Building Control"* (2009-2012).

Invitations to speak at government, business and NGO conferences; these include

- *Governance innovations for sustainable and resilient cities: Best practices and key-lessons from around the globe*, ICLEI Session at the Seoul Urban Policy Forum, Seoul, Republic of Korea – 9 June 2016.
- *Good governance for urban sustainability and resilience*, URBAN FUTURES Global Conference, United Nations, Graz, Austria – 18 November 2014.
- *Beyond the Built Environment: Achieving India's Sustainable Future*, EcoBuild-India Conference, Mumbai, India – 16 April 2013.

Metrics

Scholar Google

- 400+ citations; h-index 12; i10 index 15

Academia.edu

- 4,500+ downloads; in top 5% of authors by download

SSRN

- 2,000+ downloads; in top 5% of authors by download

Short biography – Dr Jeroen van der Heijden

Dr Jeroen van der Heijden (1977) is an Associate Professor and holds a joint position at RegNet: School of Regulation and Global Governance (Australian National University) and the Amsterdam Law School (University of Amsterdam). He received his PhD in Public Administration (highest honours) in 2009, and his MSc in Architecture (high distinction) in 2002, both from the Delft University of Technology, the Netherlands.

He works at the intersections of regulation and governance, policy change, and urban development and transformation. His research aims to improve local, national and international outcomes of urban governance on some of the most pressing challenges of our time: climate change, energy and water use, and a growing and increasingly urbanising world population. Through his work, he seeks to inform ongoing academic debates on these challenges, as well as to provide hands-on lessons to policy makers and practitioners.

Research activity

Jeroen's work is medium-n comparative in nature, building on formal process tracing techniques and qualitative comparative analysis (QCA). Since 2009, he has studied over 70 urban climate governance instruments, involving over 400 interviewees (stakeholders from policy, NGO, and business sectors) in Australia, Asia (India, Japan, Malaysia, Singapore, South Korea), Europe (Germany, Netherlands, UK) and the USA. This has resulted in a range of original research findings, which include:

- Insights in novel roles for governments in contemporary urban climate governance; these challenge an oft repeated assumption in governance studies that the state has been hollowed out.
- Evidence that green growth strategies (economic growth combined with carbon emission reductions) do not deliver to their promises in the area of sustainable building development; again, challenging an oft repeated assumption.
- The role of diffusion networks in accelerating the uptake of voluntary programs; this expands an oft applied theory in this area (the club theory perspective) and makes it fit to study voluntary programs in heterogeneous settings.

He has been highly successful in initiating research projects and acquiring funds. In 2011, he was awarded a 4-year research grant by the Dutch Organisation of Scientific Research (NWO) (€250,000; 13% success rate). In awarding him this grant NWO stated that his work is: "highly relevant, original, and thought-provoking" and "bridges social and technical sciences." In 2015, he was awarded a DECRA Fellowship by the ARC (AUD360,000; 12% success rate). One of the reviewers of the project mentioned: "[The candidate's] record of achievement, scaled to his relevant fields in social science, are nothing less than remarkable and marked by high levels of quality and innovation." In 2016, he was awarded a 5-year research grant by NWO (€800,000; 12% success rate). One of the reviewers of the project mentioned: "The candidate is among the top of his peer group." Besides these major grants for multi-year projects, he has secured funding for smaller projects also, including an international collaborative project on urban climate governance (INOGO, European Union, 2015), as well as a number of research travel grants for research in Asia (e.g., KLRI, Korea, 2016; Ritsumeikan, Japan, 2016).

Scholarly standing

Jeroen's work is well-published and has been favourably received by the academic and policy community. As his CV attests, he has published 5 scholarly books; 11 chapters in scholarly books; 43 articles in peer reviewed journals; and 37 academic conference papers. His books have been

published with leading academic publishers (including Cambridge University Press and Edward Elgar) and he has consistently published in leading journals in his field. The average ISI impact factor of his peer reviewed journal articles is 1.359 and the median impact factor is 1.518 (impact factors of journals in his field predominantly range between 0.750 and 1.750).

Citations to his work have been steadily rising since 2009, and his h-index is 12 and i10-index is 14 (November 2016). His books have received positive reviews: “The deleterious effects of urbanism on the environment are one of the foremost challenges of the 21st century, and Jeroen van der Heijden’s book is a timely intervention”, writes Prof Rob Imrie about *Governance for Urban Sustainability and Resilience* (Edward Elgar, 2014). And Prof Stephanie Shipp writes about the same book: “The book is ... innovative in proposing new approaches. [It is] informative and instructive for understanding the complexities of urban governance.” His work has further resulted in invitations to contribute to special issues and edited books from eminent scholars in the fields of public administration such as Prof Michael Howlett (National University of Singapore, Singapore) environmental governance such as Prof Andy Jordan (University of East Anglia, UK), and comparative politics such as Prof Christine Rothmayr (University of Montreal, Canada).

Uptake in policy and business

His work is also well received by the policy and business community, as is, among others, evidenced by citations to his work in various government and NGO reports—e.g., World Bank/GFDRR (2015), *Building Regulation for Resilience: Managing Risks for Safer Cities*; US Advisory Committee on Earthquake Hazards Reduction (2015), *National Earthquake Hazards Reduction Program NEHRP-ACEHR 2015 Report*. He is also regularly invited to act as member on government and NGO committees (e.g., Australian Sustainable Built Environment Council, 2016; World Bank/ Global Facility for Disaster Reduction and Recovery, 2015) and to present at policy and business conferences (e.g., Seoul Urban Policy Forum, ICLEI, South Korea, 2016; and, URBAN FUTURES Global Conference, United Nations, Austria, 2014).

Development of coursework/teaching/convening/honours supervision/research supervision

In his position at Delft University, Jeroen taught four courses per year at BSc and MSc level, and acted as course convenor for two of these. Besides, he supervised a number of MSc theses and was involved in PhD support. At the ANU, Jeroen has a modest teaching load. He has, nevertheless, been highly active as an educator within the University. He has developed the Law and Regulation Course (LAWS8034); a graduate course within the College of Law. From 2012-2014, the Course was part of RegNet’s PhD curriculum, and in this period he has convened and taught it at the College of Law—receiving consistent high student evaluations (4.3-4.5 out of 5). In 2015, he has developed the Regulation and Governance Course (ASIA8052/9052) as part of RegNet’s renewed PhD curriculum; and in 2016, he has developed the Foundations of Qualitative Comparative Analysis (QCA). He has been teaching these courses at the ANU since.

At the ANU, Jeroen is supervising 5 PhD students (Ryan Wong, RegNet; Gary Lee, RegNet; Chacko Thomas, RegNet; Allinettes Adigue, Crawford School of Public Policy; Kuntal Goswami, Charles Darwin University/ANU) and 1 honours student (Judy Zi Xiao; Fenner School). He is supervisor to 2 non-ANU PhD students (Adriana Sanchez Gomez, University of New South Wales; Yunmei Wu, University of Amsterdam). Since 2009, also 3 PhD students (Seung-hun Hong at RegNet; and Huiqi Yan and Li Na at the University of Amsterdam) and 6 MSc students have successfully completed their studies under his supervision. All students have completed on time; Yan and Na work as assistant

professors at universities in their home country (both China), and Hong is Political Affairs Researcher at the Korean Embassy in Australia.

Service to University: Responsibilities in administration/leadership in the development of initiatives

Jeroen has consistently taken up administrative roles since starting his career as an academic. Since 2011 at RegNet, for example, he has served on the Education Committee (2011-2013; 2015-2016) and helped establishing RegNet's PhD curriculum; in 2014 he served on the Governance Group representing early career researchers (ECR). At University level, he has actively participated in various working groups and committees, for example the Research School of the Asia Pacific (RSAP) Evaluation Committee in 2015 that resulted in a full overhaul of competitive funding available through CAP (the new APIP scheme). In this Committee, Jeroen has been particularly active to establish meaningful grants for ECRs, resulting in the ECR Research Excellence Award (AUD10.000; 2 grants annually) to help promising ECRs developing proposals for DECRA-type applications. He has also served on selection committees for positions within CAP, and grant committees, including the Australian Postgraduate Awards.

At RegNet, Jeroen has played an active role in the launch of an online journal within the Social Science Research Network (SSRN). This journal allows RegNet scholars and other scholars to present their work in open access paper format to a broad international audience. He acts as the editor of the online journal. Papers in the journal are well received, with over 5,000 downloads in 2016 (and an all-time total of over 31,000 downloads). At University level, Jeroen has taken the lead to launch the ANU Urban Network (with Prof Xuemei Bai, Fenner School). The Network will be formally launched later in 2016. The Network seeks to contribute to the development of ANU urban scholarship, to facilitate excellence in urban research nationally and internationally, and to develop a clear leadership position in contributing to urban policy formulation and debate. It brings together the 30 or so ANU scholars who work on urban issues and make their work more visible to the outside world, and helps the ANU to strategically position itself in this emerging area of scholarship.

Service to wider community: Contribution to academic community

Over the years, Jeroen has been active in bringing together academics, policymakers, and practitioners from different fields. In September 2016, for example, he has organised and chaired an INOGO funded workshop on *Innovative urban governance for mitigation and adaptation: Mapping, exploring and interrogating* (Amsterdam, the Netherlands). This two-day workshop brought together 18 papers from some 25 authors. Combined with papers from a related workshop he organised and chaired at the *Urban Transitions Global Summit 2016* (Shanghai, China) Jeroen anticipates to co-edit a number of volumes on innovative and experimental urban governance. He has further organised and chaired panels at annual conferences, including the European Consortium for Political Research, Earth Systems Governance, and the Law and Society Association.

He regularly acts as a reviewer for top-ranked journals in his field (e.g., *Urban Studies*; *Regulation and Governance*; *Public Administration*), leading publishers (e.g., Edward Elgar; Routledge), and national and international science foundations (e.g., Australian Research Council, National Research Council of Canada). He also is one of the co-Lead Authors for the 2018 Earth Systems Governance (ESG) Science Plan (replacing the 2008 Science Plan). ESG is the world's largest social science research network in the area of governance and global environmental change.

Philosophy of teaching – Dr Jeroen van der Heijden

Since 2009, I have been significantly involved in the design and redesign, teaching and convening of six public administration and political science courses and one methodology course at both undergraduate and graduate levels at Delft University of Technology and the Australian National University (ANU). Since 2011, I have been actively involved in the ANU School of Regulation and Global Governance's (RegNet) Education Committee and have co-developed RegNet's PhD training program. This program consists of a Regulation and Governance course (which I convene and teach), a Social Theory course, a Multi-Methodology course, and a series of skill-oriented workshops to ensure a swift progress of our PhD cohort. Since 2014, I have also been actively involved as Lector in the development of the Built Environment Program (undergraduate) and the Environmental Sciences and Sustainability Program (graduate) at NCOI and NTI Universities of Applied Sciences.

In developing, convening, and teaching courses I am conscious of students' different learning styles and differences in learning opportunities. I believe that balancing visual, auditory, and kinaesthetic serves a variety of students and is effective in engaging students with the courses that I teach. I put knowledge into context so that its relevance becomes apparent. At Delft University, for example, I have worked closely for multiple years with the Amsterdam Project Management Bureau and assigned groups of MSc-students to project managers to support them in solving problems related to the North-South Metro Line and housing development in Amsterdam (SPM8001, Process Management).

In my teaching, I seek to emphasise the value of critical scholarship. As future professionals, academics or public servants my students need not only understand the present state of governance and society, but also how they would like it to be and how they may contribute to that normative state of affairs. This requires ongoing engagement with academic and policy debates, but also an ability to clearly and logically express ideas and arguments. I seek to support them in learning how they can most effectively communicate these through in-class oral presentations, small-group discussions, and collaborative and individually written essays. At the ANU I have been particularly active in supporting students to see their work published in policy, practitioner, and academic journals (resulting in, among others, Kusters & van der Heijden, 2015).

My approach to MSc and PhD thesis supervision is challenging my students to consider their thesis as a step towards a larger goal, rather than the thesis being the end goal in itself. I do so I not only provide feedback and guidance on both content and style, but support students in thinking how their work contributes to a larger body of academic and professional knowledge, and the state of the world they would like to see. In my future MSc and PhD supervision, I wish to put more emphasis on improving the match between these two levels of training, link students more with existing research projects, and increase my support of promising MSc students who wish to pursue a PhD trajectory. My VIDI project provides me with 10 MSc stipends and 2 PhD positions to attract promising students in the area of urban climate governance, thus providing a promising starting point to build out my cohort of PhD and MSc students in this area.

Courses taught and convened: ASIA8052/9052, Regulation and Governance (graduate); LAWS8034, Law and Regulation (graduate); Drafts R Us (post-graduate); Foundations of Qualitative Comparative Analysis (QCA) (post-graduate); SPM1211, Policy and Law 1 (undergraduate); SPM2210, Policy and Law 2 (undergraduate); SPM8001, Process Management (graduate); SPM4141, Multi Actor System Design (graduate). The 'law' courses all focus on law in action, implementation, and outcomes.

Peer and student evaluations – Dr Jeroen van der Heijden

Feedback from the assessors of my successful application for a Fellowship with the Higher Education Academy, October 2014

- Areas of Activity:
 - You [Jeroen van der Heijden] are clearly experienced in all five areas of activity and consciously looking to improve. Your ideas of things to try in your next classes sound like they may well have positive benefits. Hopefully you will be able to measure the effects of these experiments quantitatively.
- Core Knowledge:
 - You have demonstrated all aspects of core knowledge related to teaching and learning, including appropriate teaching methods in your discipline. You have also demonstrated successful engagement in professional development related to teaching, learning and assessment.
 - I am particularly pleased to see how effectively and broadly you have managed to integrate many of these inspirational and instructive experiences into your teaching. I appreciate your desire to improve, and I am fully satisfied that you are firmly engaged with professional development activities to achieve that goal.
- Professional Values:
 - You have demonstrated your commitment to all Professional Values. Your commitment to scholarship, your discipline and your students is evident.

Student evaluations Law and Regulation Course, LAWS8034, College of Law, Australian National University, 2012, 2013 and 2014

- Please note, this is a four day intensive Course (26 contact hours in four days over the course of two weeks). The Course's main means of assessment is a research essay, which makes up 90 per cent of the overall mark. The Course is part of the ANU College of Law LLM program. I have developed this Course in 2012 and have been teaching and convening it since.
- In 2014, students evaluated their learning experience with a 4.5 out of 5.0 in the official ANU student evaluation (SELT). Some qualitative insights from 2014's students are:
 - Question 1: Overall, how well does Jeroen teach?
 - Good - Despite covering a lot of material, his teaching style allowed for a good base-level understanding of theory and strategy. Number of guest lectures did limit the amount of traditional teaching time however, but don't view this as a negative given the intensive nature of contact hours.
 - Overall his teaching skills were excellent and he was engaging with the class. From the use of visual aids to the group discussions the course content was delivered very well. He also made it clear that he was contactable outside of class for any assistance that was required outside of the class set times.
 - Jeroen is an excellent teacher; he is well prepared; the material he provides to students is logical and well set out; he has an easy to understand style and responds well to the questions of students.
 - Very well. I found his lectures to be very informative and entertaining.
 - Fantastic. I appreciate his engaging style, success in conveying a great deal of information in a digestible form, good use of materials (usually use of power points is abysmal but not here!), inviting relevant guest speakers and engaging the class in discussion.

- Question 2: What works well in Jeroen's teaching?
 - Structure of the course was its major strength - regulation literature was not particularly easy to digest, so breaking up content through guest lectures and role playing discussions worked well. However, see comments at Q3. Also, excellent power points [note: I use the presentation program Prezi, not Power Point] and real life scenarios through media clips was a good way to see practical application of discussed materials
 - He uses visual aids that are relevant to establish the foundation of the theory he is going to teach. This then establishes the practical concept that then enforces the theory that is explained. He all uses examples that apply for both private and public sector workers to enforce the theories that he is teaching. I found that coming from a non-legal background based in the private sector that the examples used were excellent at enforcing the key points.
 - His responsiveness to students questions and ability to listen and provide an informative response as well as give guidance to open up learning in new and unthought of areas.
 - The powerpoint presentation that Jeroen used was really great - the right amount of information. I also enjoyed the videos he presented - they broke up the more theoretical aspects of the course really well.
 - An excellent balance between delivery of the material we need to know with opportunities for questions and discussions. Also good to link class discussions back to the materials, so it helped to understanding that and wasn't just student observations. Good selection of course readings.
- Question 3: What does not work well in Jeroen's teaching?
 - I wouldn't have minded a bit more thematic allocation of guest presentations, so there was a direct flow through from the literature presented in the morning, to a guest lecture, to interactive practice. Afternoons that were dedicated towards reg[ulatory] theory in practice (Veronica Taylor's presentation and the role play) with robust class interaction was more useful than the longer guest lectures.
 - Due to the fact that PhD students were in with Masters students, at certain points during the classes the implied knowledge on Regulation theories appeared to be higher of all the students than it possibly was.
 - Sometimes responding to all students questions did delay the class and we did not cover all material in same detail. However, I do not think this is a negative as sometimes more time was required for some material than others. It depends on the students at the time.
 - I thought Jeroen's course was focussed a little too much on teaching the ResNet [sic] students. It was still interesting but I guess I expected a little more of a legal focus as it was marketed to me in this way. I also expected an hour for lunch which might seem excessive but given how tired everyone was after lunch it might have been useful to get energy levels up.
 - [no comments]
- Question 4: What would you advise Jeroen to change or improve in his teaching?
 - Some more group work may have been useful to learn more from each other, in lieu of the online forum discussion.
 - The feedback around opportunity for improvement is to attempt to keep in mind the varying basis knowledge of the student group has with regards to Regulation theories. Due to the fact that PhD students were in with Masters students, at certain points during the classes the implied knowledge on Regulation theories appeared to be higher of all the students than it may have been.

- Arrange for regular breaks for students during sessions as it is hard to concentrate for long periods of time without a break.
 - I benefit a lot from small group exercises as I am often too shy and intimidated to speak out in front of a larger group. I think more of this kind of learning would have been an improvement for me but understand that for the majority of the class the open, large group discussions were useful.
 - I really had to rack my brain to think of anything. I would have liked to hear more about your own research. Perhaps a greater use of practical examples over literature as a way into the subject, purely just to make things a little different over 4 big days.
- Question 5: Do you have any comments about Jeroen in the role of Course Convenor?
 - No further comments - an excellent and interesting course.
 - Overall an excellent Course Convenor.
 - I think he would be an excellent convenor and students would learn a lot from his courses.
 - Jeroen seems to be very well organised and provided timely advice on all aspects of the course. I feel that I am well aware of what is expected of me and that feedback will be provided to me in a timely and comprehensive manner.
 - Really appreciated having the materials provided online, accessible for questions during class and over email, very clear about assessment expectations and outcomes.
- In 2013, students evaluated their learning experience with a 4.3 out of 5.0, well above the 3.8 College average for the semester in which I taught the Course. Some snippets from emails from my students give some indication of how students experienced the Course:
 - Nicholas Neil, 3 April 2013:
 - Thank you for an enjoyable and informative intensive workshop last week in Canberra. I found the course material particularly useful and interesting in my work context.
 - Blaine Jonathan, 31 March 2013:
 - Hope you are able to have a few days of recovery before having to jump into more work. I have to say I found the class extremely stimulating and came away with all sorts of thoughts about potential studies and scholarship, so for me it was a really productive trip.
- No ANU student evaluation is available for the year 2012, which was first year I convened and taught this Course. Though the following snippets from emails from my students give some indication of how students experienced the Course:
 - Scott Rollason, 23 October 2012:
 - Firstly thank you very much for the seminars, I really enjoyed the way they were run and the diversity of topics. Took a lot out of it and already incorporating some of the thinking into the work I do.
 - Lauren Mateer, 20 October 2012:
 - Thanks for an excellent course Jeroen. Stand out subject of this degree, well done.

Peer evaluations Law and Regulation Course, LAWS8034, College of Law, Australian National University 2014

- Kate Ogg, Lecturer at the ANU College of Law, participated in the Course as part of her PhD research project. She has kindly evaluated the Course in an email on 4 July 2014:

- Thanks again for a really interesting course and I hope you have recovered from what would have been a very intensive period of teaching, preparation and marking.

I liked the first day of the course the best – the one in which we focussed on high level theory. However, I completely acknowledge that as an academic I am naturally more drawn to theory while some of the other students with a public service background would be more interested in application. I would perhaps suggest some heavier theory as well – exploring where ideas adopted by regulatory theory could be related back to classic theorists (Durkheim, Foucault, Bentham etc). Shane Chalmers did this very well in his presentation. However, I acknowledge that I may be a bit of an anomaly in this respect.

I really liked the fact that you invited both PhD students as well as established researchers and this is an idea that I hope to take up in the intensive course I will be teaching later in the year. I felt that the benefit of the PhD students is that they demonstrated, very clearly, the process of academic research (what is the problem, why is it important, what are my methods etc). I note that you specifically asked them to do this which worked really well because it is something that well established researchers are less transparent about perhaps because these things have become second nature to them.

I enjoyed the range of guest lecturers and in particular what I appreciated is that there was a mix of people with commercial research interests as well as speakers who have more of a social justice/human rights focus. I would have liked more of the readings to have a social justice/human rights focus – I felt that most of them had a business/commercial bent.

The videos in class and the subsequent discussions were a very necessary tool for keeping us engaged during what were very intense days.

On a practical level, I found sitting through 2 consecutive 2 hour days very difficult. I would have preferred to do Thursdays and Saturdays for example. It may also be a good idea to have a week off during the two sessions – this would help with reading and digestion. I'm sure you will get a lot of comments about this but the lunch breaks were too short. Of course, it is better to finish at 5 rather than 6pm but perhaps some sessions could be shortened just a little to allow a 45 minute break which is necessary when you have to eat and attend to e-mail and matters arising in your day job.

Peer and PhD student evaluation of the training I provided for his guest lecture in the Law and Regulation Course, LAWS8034, College of Law, Australian National University, 2014

- PhD students play an active role in the Law and Regulation Course. It is part of their training and they have to take the Course in their first year. In addition, I ask volunteers from our PhD cohort (those in their third or fourth year) to provide a short 45 minutes guest lecture. I support these PhD students in developing their lecture, and evaluate and discuss their lecture afterwards. Some snippets from their emails may give some insight in how they experience this process:
 - Dr Ibolya Losoncz, 30 June 2014:
 - Thank you so much for giving me feedback and sharing your thoughts and suggestions. I really do appreciate it. I often wonder after my presentations what the audience got out of it, and found your suggestion really useful, especially in terms of how I can create better participation and engagement from my audience.

Thanks heaps and thanks for the opportunity for the guest lecture.

- Shane Chalmers, 30 June 2014:
 - I echo Seung's thanks, and really appreciate your reflections on how to strengthen future lectures, as well as your words of encouragement. And of course many thanks for giving us the space in your course to do this. For me at least it was a valuable learning opportunity.

PhD student evaluation Drafts R Us writing and review group, RegNet, Australian National University, 2011-2014

- Within RegNet I have launched a writing and review group for PhD students and early career academics, and I have been convening this group since 2011. In it we discuss each other's draft academic output (i.e., papers, articles, book chapters, books). Dr Robyn Holder was an active participant from 2011 to 2013, when she was working on her own PhD project. She has kindly evaluated the writing and review group in an email on 16 June 2014:
 - I got a huge amount from drafts r' us. It was a terrific opportunity to hear how ECRs and fellow PhDs 'read' the structure, language and argument of an article/chapter. People saw different things and even this was helpful as it showed there was no one way to read one's work, or to present an argument. Obviously having others (clever, interested, sympathetic) do a reading of one's work in a reasonable amount of depth is like gold because no-one else will do it! It was best done before one was 'ironed onto' the text. If you submitted too late then you were too protective of your work and maybe couldn't see wood for trees. And the feedback and comment is just that. You still have the choice - is it relevant, or useful - to adopt or just to notice, think about and put aside. People won't necessarily comment on the detail of an argument but their comments tell you if your main point is coming across, or if something else is more interesting, or that this and this bit is confusing, or that something else is solid and clear. All of which is fabulous.

Practicing doing this in a supportive crowd was terrific. And, to me, it is part of ethical scholarship that if one gets a good deed from others, then you return it! I didn't go to every one but tried to. Even when I knew nothing about someone's content it was always enormously useful listening to others' comments, and I found I could usually say something coherent.

In terms of improvements I think the hour was good and people could stay back if needed. I think a coordinator is also useful. You, Kate and Natasha all provided really good feedback so having that quality early was a great model. I am not sure how it would work without that. In terms of encouraging others, you might want to think how it could be worked as part of each PhD cohort. That is, could it be used as a model for communal scholarship, and new PhDs are just told that it is expected. Then a cohort might eventually become self-managing while you (or someone) turned to the next cohort.